

1 Yakup Sari, Esq. (SBN:336030)

2 **SARI LAW FIRM**

3 540 N Golden Cir. Dr. #303

4 Santa Ana, CA 92705

5 E-mail: [info@sarilaw.us](mailto:info@sarilaw.us)

6 Phone No.: 949.426.5071

7 Attorney for Plaintiff Art Records, LLC

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 ART RECORDS, LLC, a Delaware limited  
11 liability company,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 YOUTUBE LLC; GOOGLE LLC; DOES  
15 1-10 et.al.

16 Defendants;

17 Case No.:

18 **COMPLAINT FOR:**

1. COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT
2. CONTRIBUTORY  
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT
3. VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT  
INFRINGEMENT
4. VIOLATIONS OF DMCA 17  
U.S.C. § 1202

19 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

20  
21 Plaintiff Art Records, LLC by and through their undersigned attorneys,  
22 hereby pray to this honorable Court for relief based on the following:

23 **I. Jurisdiction & Venue**

24 1. This action arises under the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101,  
25 *et seq.*

26 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§  
27 1331, 1338(a) and (b), & 1367(a).

1           3.     Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (c) and  
2     § 1400(a).

## II. Parties

4       4. Plaintiff Art Records, LLC (“Art Records”) are a limited liability  
5 company registered in Delaware and operating in California. Art Records has  
6 suffered damages from each Defendant’s conduct in this district.

7       5.     At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff is informed and believes and  
8 thereon alleges that Youtube LLC. (“Youtube”) is a Delaware Limited Liability  
9 Company which has the principal place of business located at 901 CHERRY AVE  
10 SAN BRUNO, CA 94066 which conducts business in and with the state of  
11 California and this judicial district, including but not limited to soliciting business  
12 and/or contracting to supply good or services in California.

13       6. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff is informed and believes and  
14 thereon alleges that Google LLC. (“Google”) is a Delaware Limited Liability  
15 Company which has the principal place of business located at 1600  
16 AMPHITHEATRE PARKWAY MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94043 which conducts  
17 business in and with the state of California and this judicial district, including but  
18 not limited to soliciting business and/or contracting to supply good or services in  
19 California. Hereinafter YouTube LLC and Google LLC will be called “Platform  
20 Defendants”.

21       7. Defendants Does 1 through 10, inclusive, are other parties not yet  
22 identified who have infringed Plaintiffs' copyrights, have contributed to the  
23 infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights, or have engaged in one or more of the  
24 wrongful practices alleged herein. The true names, whether corporate, individual or  
25 otherwise, of Defendants 1 through 10, inclusive, are presently unknown to  
26 Plaintiff, which therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names, and will  
27 seek leave to amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when  
28 same have been ascertained.

1       8. Upon information and belief, each of the Defendants was the agent,  
2 affiliate, officer, director, manager, principal, alter-ego, and/or employee of the  
3 remaining Defendants and was at all times acting within the scope of such agency,  
4 affiliation, alter-ego relationship and/or employment; and actively participated in or  
5 subsequently ratified and adopted, or both, each and all of the acts or conduct  
6 alleged, with full knowledge of all the facts and circumstances, including, but not  
7 limited to, full knowledge of each and every violation of Plaintiffs' rights and the  
8 damages to Plaintiffs proximately caused thereby.

## **II. Factual Background**

10       9. Plaintiff, Art Records, is a record label and publisher that administers  
11 the rights of over some Turkish artists and a library of over 1,500 songs.  
12 Specifically, Art Record owns Muazzez Ersoy's, who is a Turkish Singer, music  
13 videos since August 27, 2019. **(See, Exhibit A)**

14 10. Art Records is the sole owner of the exclusive digital rights, artistic  
15 performance rights, and financial interests in a Muazzez Ersoy's music videos  
16 which have been unlawfully reproduced by Defendants ("Music Videos").

17        11. The Music Videos have been widely published and disseminated to the  
18 public through releases as music videos in numerous media in Turkey and  
19 internationally including Youtube.

20       12. At issue in this litigation is the unlawful reproduction and display of  
21 the Music Videos. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a list of the music videos at issue  
22 with the corresponding URL for where the song appears on each. To date, most of  
23 the identified Music Videos remain unlawfully on the Platform Defendants'  
24 websites.

25        13. The Music Videos are registered with the U.S. Copyright Office and/or  
26 were first published abroad, exempting Art Records from the registration  
27 requirements set out in 17 U.S.C. Section 411(a) for said songs. Attached hereto as  
28 **Exhibit C** is a the registrations of Music Videos.

1       14. Art Records has not in any way authorized Defendants, or any of them,  
2 to copy, reproduce, and duplicate, disseminate, distribute, create derivative works  
3 of, or otherwise exploit the Music Videos. The Defendants did not contract with Art  
4 Records and obtain any licenses to use the Music Videos before the exploitation at  
5 issue.

6       15. Platform Defendants are a successful online music and streaming and  
7 sharing website. They generates billions of dollars in revenue annually, and have  
8 had an unprecedent social impact by influencing popular culture and Internet trends,  
9 among other things. However, Platform Defendants' users frequently share and  
10 upload content they do not own, and rights holders are left without any means of  
11 meaningfully policing the rampant sharing of their works. This case concerns a  
12 small part of the widespread infringement of copyrights on Platform Defendants'  
13 platform, namely, the infringement of Art Record's copyrights in the Music Videos.

14       16. Platform Defendants instruct copyright holders who believe that  
15 content on the Platform Defendants' platforms infringes in their copyright(s) in their  
16 protected work(s) to contact the Platform Defendants to submit a claim of copyright  
17 infringement. Platform Defendants ask copyright holders to provide information  
18 identifying the work(s) being infringed, the copyright holder's contact information,  
19 and other information sufficient to constitute an effective Takedown Notice under  
20 the DMCA 17 U.S.C. § 512 ("Takedown Notices"). The Platform Defendants have  
21 the right and ability to remove and/or disable access to songs on their platforms.

22       17. Art Records has sent Takedown Notices to each of the Platform  
23 Defendants as to each of the Music Videos, in accordance with the Platform's stated  
24 procedures and the DMCA. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D**, communications between  
25 Etem Kantarcio glu and Youtube LLC's authorized officer evaluating takedown  
26 notices.

27       18. At issue in this litigation is the unlawful reproduction and display of  
28 Muazzez Ersoy's music videos. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a list of the Music  
Videos with the corresponding URLs on Platform Defendants. The Music Videos

1 were displayed, distributed, publicly performed, and/or otherwise used; as well as  
2 when Art Records sent a takedown notice to Platform Defendants under the Digital  
3 Millennium Copyright Act (“DMCA”) for the removal of the Music Videos from  
4 Platform Defendants’s platform. To date, Platform Defendants has not removed  
5 most (if not all) of the identified Music Videos from their respective platforms.

6       19. Upon information and belief, Art Records alleges that Defendants, and  
7 each of them, have willfully copied, reproduced, and distributed the Music Videos  
8 for commercial benefit by, without limitation, reproducing the Music Videos in  
9 audio-visual displays and content which were released on the Platform Defendants’  
10 websites. Defendants’ unauthorized exploitation of the Music Videos are depicted  
11 in **Exhibit B** attached hereto (“Infringing Content”) listing the URL where the  
12 infringing unlawful display of the Infringing Content can be found and the Music  
13 Videos can be heard in their entirety. These listed non-inclusive exemplars of the  
14 Infringing Content, which encompasses all uses, in whole or in part, of the Music  
15 Videos by Defendants, and each of them.

16       20. Upon information and belief, copies of the entirety of the sound  
17 recordings and the musical compositions embodied in those sound recordings, of  
18 the Music Videos are reproduced in the Infringing Content.

19       21. Upon information and belief it is alleged that Defendants, and each of  
20 them, distributed, displayed, broadcast, and/or streamed the Infringing Content on  
21 Platform Defendants’ platforms and distributed it to media outlets with the intent  
22 that they further distribute, display, broadcast and stream the Infringing Content.

23       22. The Music Videos have been unfairly used by the Defendants, and each  
24 of them, who have unlawfully allowed downloading, streaming, and broadcasting  
25 of the Music Videos and Infringing Content on the internet and have unfairly earned  
26 income and profits from this unlawful exploitation.

27  
28

1        23. Since 2011, some artists have filed lawsuits in Turkey against some of  
2 the Defendants to end the unfair use, and these lawsuits have resulted in favor of the  
3 artists and Art Records. Despite these results in Turkish court, Defendants continue  
4 to exploit the songs on digital platforms, recklessly ignoring judicial decisions.

5        24. In this case, the Platform Defendants encouraged the other named  
6 defendants to upload and claim ownership in the Music Videos. Despite, being put  
7 on notice of this infringement through DMCA takedown notices, the majority of the  
8 Infringing Content remains up for the public to view.

9        25. To date, the Platform Defendants have not removed the majority  
10 Infringing Content from their websites and they remain viewable to the public,  
11 despite being put on notice of the infringement.

## **First Claim for Relief**

13 (For Copyright Infringement—Against all Defendants, and Each)

14 26. Art Records repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference all  
15 preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

16        27. Art Records is the sole owner of the digital rights, artistic performance  
17 rights and financial interests in the Music Videos.

18        28. The Music Videos are registered with the U.S. Copyright Office and/or  
19        exempt from registration.

20 29. The Music Videos are original compositions and recordings.

21       30. Defendants had access to the Music Videos because the Music Videos  
22 were widely distributed throughout the world. Access is further evidenced by the  
23 Subject Song's reproduction and distribution through the Platform Defendants'  
24 websites, where Defendants are listed as the contributors of the Music Videos.

25        31. In addition, Defendants’ “sampling” (direct extraction and  
26 reproduction of the Music Videos) establishes access by way of striking similarity,  
27 if not virtual identity.

1       32. The Defendants, and each of them, infringed Art Records' rights in the  
 2 Music Videos by sampling the recording of the Music Videos and reproducing them  
 3 in the Infringing Content without Art Records' authorization or consent.

4       33. Alternatively, the Defendants, and each of them, infringed Art  
 5 Records' rights by making a direct copy of the composition of the Music Videos  
 6 and using that copy in the Infringing Content without Art Records' authorization or  
 7 consent.

8       34. Art Records is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the  
 9 Defendants have infringed Plaintiffs' rights in the Music Videos by, without  
 10 limitation, exploiting them for profit by licensing, or otherwise authorizing third  
 11 parties to use, reproduce and/or perform the Music Videos for profit, specifically on  
 12 Platform Defendants' websites.

13       35. Art Records is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the  
 14 Defendants have infringed Art Records' rights in the Music Videos by copying the  
 15 composition in the Music Videos and/or sampling the recording of the Music Videos  
 16 in the Infringing Content without Art Records' authorization.

17       36. Upon information and belief the Defendants have infringed Art  
 18 Records' rights in the Music Videos by, without limitation, (a) authorizing the  
 19 reproduction, and distribution of the Music Videos, through the execution of  
 20 licenses, and/or actually reproducing digital or electronic copies of Music Videos  
 21 through various online sources and applications, including without limitation,  
 22 through the Platform Defendants'; (b) streaming and/or authorizing the streaming  
 23 and/or public performance of the Music Videos, without limitation, on the Platform  
 24 Defendants' websites; and (c) participating in and furthering the aforementioned  
 25 infringing acts, and/or sharing in the proceeds therefrom, all through substantial use  
 26 of the Music Videos in and as part of the Infringing Content, performed in a variety  
 27 of ways including, but not limited to, audio and video.

28       37. The Defendants, and each of them, have engaged and continue to  
 engage in the unauthorized reproduction, distribution, public performance,

1 licensing, display, and creation of one or more of the Infringing Content. The  
2 foregoing acts infringe Art Records' rights under the Copyright Act. Such  
3 exploitation includes, without limitation, Defendants', and each of them,  
4 distributing and broadcasting the Infringing Content on the Platform Defendants's  
5 website.

6       38. Due to the Defendants', and each of their, acts of infringement, Art  
7 Records' have suffered actual, general and special damages in an amount to be  
8 established at trial, including but not limited a reasonable license fee for Defendants'  
9 use of the sample.

10       39. Due to the Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged  
11 herein, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they  
12 would not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of Art Records' rights  
13 in Art Records' copyrighted sound recordings and compositions. As such, Art  
14 Records is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and indirectly  
15 attributable to Defendants' infringements of their rights in the sound recordings in  
16 an amount to be established at trial.

17        40. Art Records is informed and believes and now alleges that the  
18 Defendants, and each of their, conduct as alleged herein was willful, reckless, and/or  
19 with knowledge, subjecting the Upload Defendants, and each of them, to enhanced  
20 statutory damages, claims for costs and attorneys' fees, and/or a preclusion from  
21 deducting certain costs when calculating disgorgable profits.

## Second Claim for Relief

*(For Vicarious and/or Contributory Copyright Infringement—Against all Defendants, and Each)*

26 41. Art Records repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference all  
27 preceding paragraphs of this Complaint. The Platform Defendants has the right and  
28 ability to supervise its platform and the content posted thereon, including removing  
copyright-infringing content. The Platform Defendants also has the right and ability

1 to oversee the uses of the Infringing Content on their platform. Accordingly, the  
 2 Platform Defendants is in the best position to remove and prevent copyright-  
 3 infringing content from their respective platforms.

4       42. The Platform Defendants had actual knowledge, and/or has been aware  
 5 of the facts or circumstances, of the Infringing Content (i.e., specific infringing  
 6 material on its platform) for each of the Infringing Content on the date Art Records  
 7 sent their Takedown Notices. The information provided in the Takedown Notices  
 8 that the Platform Defendants received contained the types of information called for  
 9 under the Platform Defendants's respective Terms of Service and the DMCA.  
 10 Moreover, those Takedown Notices presented and formatted that information based  
 11 on the relationship between the means for finding the Infringing Content (i.e.,  
 12 URLs) and the Infringing Content themselves.

13       43. The Platform Defendants has the tools, resources, staff, technological  
 14 capabilities, and knowledge of/about music on its platform and servers (including  
 15 the Infringing Content) to locate and remove the Infringing Content, and thereby  
 16 prevent further infringement of Art Record's copyrights in the Music Videos.  
 17 Indeed, doing so requires only simple measures from the Platform Defendants. Yet  
 18 the Platform Defendants has failed to remove, or disable access to, the majority of  
 19 the Infringing Content. Instead, despite their actual knowledge and awareness of the  
 20 Infringing Content, the Platform Defendants continues to materially contribute to,  
 21 and/or induce, further use of the Infringing Content by (1) failing to act; and (2),  
 22 *inter alia* and upon information and belief, continuing to provide access to the  
 23 Infringing Content by allowing users to (a) select the Infringing Content for upload,  
 24 download, transmission, and/or distribution, as well as initiate and instigate the  
 25 display of the Infringing Content on the Platform Defendants' websites; and (b)  
 26 store, cache, and/or distribute multiple copies of the Infringing Content on the  
 27 Platform Defendants's servers and platforms.

28       44. And, upon information and belief, the Platform Defendants has failed  
 to adequately enforce any repeat infringer policy with respect to the Infringing

1 Content and the users who posted them, as evidenced by, *inter alia*, their continued  
 2 display and distribution of the Infringing Content with no evident action taken  
 3 against the users who posted them.

4       45. The combination of the Platform Defendants's knowledge and  
 5 awareness of the Infringing Content (in addition to knowing full well the extent of  
 6 copyright infringement that occurs on its platform), its failure to remove or disable  
 7 access to the Infringing Content (or take any meaningful measure(s) to stem the  
 8 spread of copyright-infringing content) after obtaining that knowledge and  
 9 awareness, and its failure to adequately enforce its repeat infringer policy, removes  
 10 any potential safe harbor protection afforded to the Platform Defendants under the  
 11 DMCA, 17 U.S.C. § 512.

12       46. Due to the Platform Defendants' acts of contributory copyright  
 13 infringement, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount to be established at trial.

14       47. Due to the Platform Defendants's acts of contributory copyright  
 15 infringement, they have each obtained profits they would not have realized but for  
 16 their contributory infringement of the Music Videos. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to  
 17 disgorgement of the Platform Defendants's profits attributable to their contributory  
 18 infringement of the Music Videos in an amount to be established at trial.

19       48. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that the Platform  
 20 Defendants has committed acts of contributory copyright infringement with actual  
 21 knowledge of, or in reckless disregard for, Plaintiff's copyrights in the Music  
 22 Videos, which renders those acts willful, intentional, and malicious.

23       49. Art Records is informed and believes and now alleges that all  
 24 Defendants knowingly induced, participated in, aided and abetted in and profited  
 25 from the illegal reproduction, distribution, and publication of the Infringing Content  
 26 as alleged above. Specifically, the Defendants facilitated, and participated in the  
 27 Defendants' respective illegal copying during the creation of the Infringing Content  
 28 and realized profits through their respective distribution, and publication of the  
 Infringing Content and vice versa. Upon information and belief, the Upload

1 Defendants likewise knowingly induced, participated in, aided and abetted in and  
2 profited from the illegal reproduction and distribution of the Music Videos as  
3 alleged hereinabove.

4 50. Due to Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged herein,  
5 Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they would  
6 not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of Art Records's rights in the  
7 Music Videos. As such, Art Records is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants'  
8 profits directly and indirectly attributable to Defendants' infringement of its rights  
9 in the Music Videos, in an amount to be established at trial.

### Third Claim for Relief

## *(Vicarious Copyright Infringement—Against all Defendants)*

12        51. Art Records is informed and believes and now alleges that the  
13 Defendants, and each of them, are vicariously liable for the infringement alleged  
14 herein because they had the right and ability to supervise the infringing conduct and  
15 because they had a direct financial interest in the infringing conduct. Specifically,  
16 each Defendant involved in the infringement had the ability to oversee the  
17 publication and distribution of one or more of the Infringing Content. And, the  
18 Defendants, and each of them, realized profits through their respective obtainment,  
19 distribution, and publication of the Infringing Content.

22        52. By reason of the Defendants', and each of their, acts of contributory  
23 and vicarious infringement as alleged above, Art Records has suffered and will  
24 continue to suffer substantial damages in an amount to be established at trial, as well  
25 as additional actual, general, and special damages in an amount to be established at  
26 trial.

27       53. Due to the Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged  
28 herein, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they  
would not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of Art Records' rights.

1 As such, Art Records is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and  
2 indirectly attributable to Defendants' infringement of Art Records' rights in their  
3 copyrighted sound recordings in an amount to be established at trial.

4       54. Art Records is informed and believes and now alleges that Defendants,  
5 and each of their, conduct as alleged herein was willful, reckless, and/or with  
6 knowledge, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to enhanced statutory  
7 damages, claims for costs and attorneys' fees, and/or a preclusion from deducting  
8 certain costs when calculating disgorgable profits.

## **Fourth Claim For Relief**

10 (For Violations of the 17 U.S.C. §1202 – Against all Defendants)

11 55. Art Records repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference  
12 as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs.

13        56. The Infringing Content was routinely published with attribution, credit,  
14 and other copyright management information identifying Art Records as the owner  
15 and rights holder.

16        57. Art Records alleges on information and belief that the Defendants, and  
17 each of them, removed Art Records's copyright management information, as  
18 described above, from the Music Videos, and/or added false copyright management  
19 information to the Music Videos.

20        58. Art Records alleges on information and belief that the Defendants, and  
21 each of them, distributed and published the Music Videos via websites bearing the  
22 URL(s) depicted in **Exhibit A** hereto, under its own name, and removing Art  
23 Records's attribution information, including without limitation his name and/or  
24 metadata.

25        59. The aforementioned facts constitute “copyright management  
26 information” as that phrase is defined in 17 U.S.C. §1202(c) and is false.

27       60. When the Defendants distributed and published the Music Videos, they  
28 knowingly provided and/or distributed false copyright management information in  
violation of 17 U.S.C. §1202(a). As a result of the foregoing, Art Records has been

1 damaged and may recover those damages as well as Defendants' profits, and/or  
 2 statutory damages, and attorneys' fees under 17 U.S.C. §1203.

3 **Prayer for Relief**

4 *(Against All Defendants)*

5 With respect to each claim for relief, Plaintiff prays to the court for judgment  
 6 against Defendants as follows:

- 7 a. That Defendants, their affiliates, agents, and employees be enjoined from  
                   8 infringing Plaintiff's copyrights in and to Plaintiff's copyrighted sound  
                   9 recordings and musical compositions;
- 10 b. Granting an injunction permanently restraining and enjoining Defendants,  
                   11 their officers, agents, employees, and attorneys, and all those persons or  
                   12 entities in active concert or participation with them, or any of them, from  
                   13 further infringing Plaintiff's copyrights in the compositions and to  
                   14 Plaintiff's copyrighted sound recordings;
- 15 c. For a constructive trust to be entered over any recordings, videos  
                   16 reproductions, files, online programs, and other material in connection  
                   17 with both recordings of the Infringing Content, and all revenues resulting  
                   18 from the exploitation of same, for the benefit of Plaintiff;
- 19 d. That Plaintiff be awarded all profits of Defendants, and each, plus all  
                   20 losses of Plaintiff, plus any other monetary advantage gained by the  
                   21 Defendants through their infringement, the exact sum to be proven at the  
                   22 time of trial;
- 23 e. That Defendants pay damages equal to Plaintiff's actual damages and lost  
                   24 profits;
- 25 f. That Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages and attorneys' fees as  
                   26 available under 17 U.S.C. §§ 504, 505 or other statutory or common law;
- 27 g. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest as allowed by law;
- 28 h. That Plaintiff be awarded the costs of this action; and

- i. That Plaintiff be awarded such further legal and equitable relief as the Court deems proper.

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38 and the 7th Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 10, 2025

By: /s/ Yakup Sari  
Yakup Sari, Esq.  
SARI LAW FIRM  
Attorneys for Plaintiff